

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/685,697	10/16/2003	Hyun-kwon Chung	1793.1076	6770
#9455 7550 003312099 STEIN, MCEWEN & BUI, LLP 1400 EYE STREET, NW SUITH: 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			EXAMINER	
			PRICE, NATHAN E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	. ,		2194	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/31/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/685,697 CHUNG ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit NATHAN PRICE 2194 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 October 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date See Continuation Sheet.

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :01/20/2004; 06/17/2004; 03/26/2008; 02/17/2009.

Application/Control Number: 10/685,697 Page 2

Art Unit: 2194

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1 – 20 are pending.

Claim Objections

 Claims 1 – 20 are objected to because of the following informalities: the meaning of "AV" is not clearly indicated. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 17 – 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim directed to a signal directly or indirectly by claiming a medium and the Specification recites evidence (¶95) where the computer readable medium is defined as a "wave" (such as a carrier wave). In that event, the claims are directed to a signal or form of energy, which does not fall into a category of invention. See MPEP 2106. For the purposes of compact prosecution, "computer readable medium" will be interpreted as including physical storage devices and not carrier waves (non-statutory).

Application/Control Number: 10/685,697 Page 3

Art Unit: 2194

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1 8, 17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanazawa et al. (US 6580870 B1; "Kanazawa") in view of Jones et al. (US 20030220984 A1; "Jones").
- As to claim 1, Kanazawa teaches a method of reproducing AV data in an interactive mode using a markup document, the method comprising:

buffering the markup document to preload the markup document (col. 15 lines 34 – 56; col. 17 lines 31 – 38; col. 20 lines 18 – 22).

6. Kanazawa suggests indicating buffering state information (col. 15 lines 34 – 56; col. 17 line 64 – col. 18 line 23), but fails to specifically teach outputting the information in response to a report signal as claimed. However, Jones teaches outputting buffering state information of the markup document in response to a report signal (¶66, 68). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to combine these teachings because Kanazawa teaches identifying the buffering state and Jones teaches a way to enable identification of the buffering state that can be used when implementing the disclosure of Kanazawa.

Art Unit: 2194

 As to claim 2, Jones teaches generating the report signal to determine the buffering state information of the markup document (¶66, 68).

- 8. As to claim 3, Jones teaches the generating of the report signal comprises generating the report signal using an application program interface (API) (¶66, 68).
- As to claim 4, Jones teaches the API includes at least one of a file path and an attribute of the markup document as a parameter (¶66, 68).
- 10. As to claim 5, Jones teaches the API serves to determine whether the buffering of the markup document to preload the markup document succeeded or failed, or whether the markup document is still being buffered (¶66, 68).
- 11. As to claim 6, Kanazawa teaches a URL that is a parameter indicating a file path of the markup document and resType is a parameter indicating an attribute of the markup document (col. 15 lines 34 56; col. 17 line 64 col. 18 line 23), but fails to specifically teach the report signal is generated using an API as claimed. However, Jones teaches the generating of the report signal comprises generating the report signal using an API (¶66, 68).

- As to claim 7, although the specific values of 0, 1 and 2 are not taught, Jones teaches the outputting of the buffering state information includes returning a value in response to the markup document being successfully preloaded, returning a value in response to the markup document not being successfully preloaded, and returning a value in response to the markup document still being preloaded (¶ 66, 68).
- 13. As to claim 8. Kanazawa teaches reproducing the AV data in the interactive mode using the preloaded markup document (col. 15 lines 34 - 56).
- 14. Claim 17 is a computer readable medium with instructions that implement the method of claim 1. In the computer art, it is well known to produce such a computer readable medium with instructions to implement a computerized method. Therefore the medium of claim 17 is obvious in view of the teachings used to reject claim 1.
- 15. As to claim 20, see the rejection of claims 1, 2 and 5.
- 16 Claims 9 – 16, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanazawa et al. (US 6580870 B1; "Kanazawa") in view of Jones et al. (US 20030220984 A1: "Jones") and Ferguson (US 20020178232 A1).
- 17. As to claim 9, Kanazawa teaches a method of managing a markup document for use in reproducing AV data in an interactive mode, the method comprising:

Art Unit: 2194

buffering the markup document to preload the markup document in response to a fetch signal (col. 15 lines 34 - 56; col. 17 lines 31 - 38).

- 18. Kanazawa fails to specifically teach the other signals as claimed. However, Jones teaches outputting a buffering state of the markup document in response to a report signal and staging the markup document for decoding in response to a retrieve signal (¶66 68).
- 19. Kanazawa teaches disconnecting because the data is no longer needed after display of resources is complete (Fig. 8, S47), but fails to specifically teach "deleting the markup document in response to a discard signal" as claimed. However, Ferguson teaches deleting the markup document in response to a discard signal (¶63). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to combine these teachings because Kanazawa indicates a need to properly close and remove resources that are no longer needed (Fig. 8, S47) and Ferguson teaches additional details about de-allocating resources.
- 20. As to claim 10, Ferguson teaches marking the markup document as a document no longer in use in response to a release signal (¶63).

Art Unit: 2194

21. As to claim 11, Kanazawa teaches issuing a response indicating whether a command to preload the markup document included in the fetch signal has been successfully transmitted (col. 17 line 64 – col. 18 line 12).

22. As to claim 12, Jones teaches the outputting of the buffering state comprises returning a signal indicating whether preloading of the markup document has been completed (¶66, 68).

- 23. As to claim 13, Jones teaches the outputting of the buffering state comprises returning a signal indicating whether preloading of the markup document succeeded or failed, or whether the preloading of the markup document is still being conducted (¶66, 68).
- 24. As to claims 14, 18 and 19, see the rejection of claim 9.
- 25. As to claim 15 and 16, see the rejection of claim 10 and 13.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NATHAN PRICE whose telephone number is (571)272-4196. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5:00pm, Monday - Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/685,697 Page 8

Art Unit: 2194

NP

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Tuan Q. Dam/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2192